

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,034	05/13/2002	C S Raman	UTSK:336US	6172
	590 03/07/2007 JAWORSKI L.L.P.		EXAMINER BRUSCA, JOHN S ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
600 CONGRESS				
SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78	3701			
11001111, 111 70		•	1631	•
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	ITHS	03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/889,034	RAMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John S. Brusca	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this commandation ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	anuary 2007						
	andary 2007. s action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	ex parto Quayro, 1000 C.	D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>12-22</u> is/are rejected.		•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			age				
application from the International Bureau		•	J				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/3/2001</u> .	6) Other:		•				

Art Unit: 1631

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group 3 in the reply filed on 22 January 2007 is acknowledged.
- 2. The applicants have cancelled claims 1-11 and 23-27 drawn to the non-elected inventions.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The brief description of the drawings on pages 6-8 describe figures 1-3 as having color components, while the filed drawings are in black and white.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 12-22 are drawn to a process that includes embodiments in which no physical transformation is produced because the claims read on embodiments that are entirely data manipulation. A statutory process must include a step of a physical transformation, or produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result (State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group Inc. CAFC 47 USPQ2d 1596 (1998), AT&T Corp. v. Excel Communications Inc. (CAFC 50 USPQ2d 1447 (1999)). The instant claims do not result in a physical transformation, thus the Examiner must determine if the instant claims include a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

As noted in State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group Inc. CAFC 47 USPQ2d 1596 (1998) below, the statutory category of the claimed subject matter is not relevant to a determination of whether the claimed subject matter produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result:

The question of whether a claim encompasses statutory subject matter should not focus on which of the four categories of subject matter a claim is directed to 9-- process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter--but rather on the essential characteristics of the subject matter, in particular, its practical utility. Section 101 specifies that statutory subject matter must also satisfy the other "conditions and requirements" of Title 35, including novelty, nonobviousness, and adequacy of disclosure and notice. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1359, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1757-58 (Fed. Cir. 1994). For purpose of our analysis, as noted above, claim 1 is directed to a machine programmed with the Hub and Spoke software and admittedly produces a "useful, concrete, and tangible result." Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557. This renders it statutory subject matter, even if the useful result is expressed in numbers, such as price, profit, percentage, cost, or loss.

In determining if the claimed subject matter produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, the Examiner must determine each standard individually. For a claim to be "useful," the claim must produce a result that is specific, and substantial. For a claim to be "concrete," the process must have a result that is reproducible. For a claim to be "tangible," the process must produce a real world result. Furthermore, the claim must be limited only to statutory embodiments.

Claims 12-22 do not produce a tangible result. A tangible result requires that the claim must set forth a practical application to produce a real-world result. This rejection could be overcome by amendment of the claims to recite that a result of the method is outputted to a display or a memory or another computer on a network, or to a user, or by including a physical transformation.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,034

Art Unit: 1631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 4

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 12-22 are indefinite because it is not clear if the steps of the method are entirely computational data manipulation steps, such as structure modeling on a computer, or if the steps involve manipulation of molecules. The applicants are requested to make clear the metes and bounds of each claimed step recited in independent claims 12 and 21, so that it is clear whether each step is limited to data manipulation, or molecule manipulation, or is open to either data manipulation or molecule manipulation.

7. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: a step of identifying drugs against a diseased state as required in the preamble.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Brusca whose telephone number is 571 272-0714. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel can be reached on 571 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John S. Brusca
Primary Examiner

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1631

isb